MORE THAN AN ATHLETE: SPORT AS A PLATFORM FOR PROMOTING SOCIAL JUSTICE
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I. Introduction

Fox News Host Laura Ingraham advised Cleveland Cavaliers’ LeBron James and Golden State Warriors’ Kevin Durant to “shut up and dribble” following their sharp criticism of President Donald Trump. In response, James posted a photo on Instagram depicting the phrase “I am more than an athlete.” The exchange underscores the national policy debate regarding the extent that professional athletes should utilize their platform to offer political commentary and discuss social injustice. This article will explore the history of athlete activism, including the recent phenomenon of kneeling in the NFL, and the legal rights of both public and private sport entities to silence their athletes. This article will suggest that private sports entities should support athletes who promote social justice.

II. A Brief History of Athlete Activism

Activism and athletics have been intertwined for at least the past half-century. Athlete activism has frequently been met with condemnation. On March 9, 1966 Muhammad Ali proclaimed, “My conscience won’t let me go shoot my brother, or some darker people, or some poor hungry people in the mud for big powerful America,” as he refused to comply with his induction into the U.S. military to participate the Vietnam War. Ali’s conscientious objection
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led to his arrest and conviction, but his punishment transcended the court room, “[Ali’s] license to box was suspended in New York…his title stripped…[and] Ali was unable to obtain a boxing license in the U.S. for the next three years.”5 Backlash to Ali was immense: as television host David Susskind submitted, “‘He’s a disgrace to his country, his race, and what he laughingly describes as his profession.’”6 Ali was eventually exonerated and returned to boxing, perhaps, however, at the cost of the prime years of his career.7

U.S. Olympic athletes John Carlos and Tommie Smith were met with condemnation following their iconic display at the 1968 Olympic Games.8 The duo “took off their shoes to protest poverty. They wore beads and a scarf to protest lynchings. And when the national anthem was played, they lowered their heads in defiance and raised their fists in a Black Power salute that rocked the world.” Both athletes were suspended from the U.S. Track team, and the pair returned to the United States facing death threats.9

In the first half of the next decade, tennis champion Billie Jean King used tennis as her platform to fight for gender equality and against pay disparity.10 King and the eight other members of what came to be known as the “Original Nine” were threatened by their respective tennis associations with suspension and expulsion after organizing the Virginia Slams Circuit in
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protest of pay disparity. The United States Lawn Tennis Association suspended every woman who played in the Houston tournament before reinstating them weeks later. Australians Judy Tegart-Dalton and Kerry Melville Reid were also suspended by their association and were forbidden to play in tournaments in Australia.

The NBA suspended Mahmoud Abdul-Rauf in 1996 after he refused to stand for the national anthem Abdul-Rauf claimed that the anthem stood for oppression. In 2010 the Phoenix Suns donned jerseys with the team’s name in Spanish to protest an Arizona immigration law. The WNBA fined the Indiana Fever, New York Liberty, and Phoenix Mercury teams $5,000 each and assessed a $500 penalty on each of the teams’ players for wearing warm-up shirts that brought attention to police brutality. The WNBA later rescinded the fines after public outcry.

The past two years have been especially rife with examples athlete activism. The Ross Initiative in Sports for Equality (RISE) founded by Miami Dolphins owner Stephen M. Ross
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analyzed over 225 examples of athlete activism the latter half of 2016.\textsuperscript{19} RISE also released a report in 2017 surveying more than 1,100 examples of athlete activism.\textsuperscript{20} These instances of athlete activism are highlighted by the NFL’s kneeling phenomenon.

Colin Kaepernick began kneeling in the 2016 NFL preseason sparking a league wide movement.\textsuperscript{21} Kaepernick first began his awareness campaign by sitting on the bench during the anthem.\textsuperscript{22} However, after discussing the protest with teammate Eric Reid and retired Green Beret Nate Boyer, Kaepernick and Reid concluded that they would peacefully protest by kneeling, rather than sitting, as a respectful gesture.\textsuperscript{23} Through the end of 2017, hundreds of athletes knelt during the anthem.\textsuperscript{24} And while NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell has stated his expectation for the players to stand for the anthem, no player has been punished yet.\textsuperscript{25}

III. Legal Analysis

Then Massachusetts Supreme Court Judge Oliver Wendell Holmes famously opined in \textit{McAuliffe v. City of New Bedford}, “[a person] may have a constitutional right to talk politics, but he has no constitutional right to be a policeman.”\textsuperscript{26} It is generally understood that private-sector
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employees do not have the constitutional right to free speech in the workplace, as the First Amendment protects citizens against government censorship.²⁷ The limits on speech by private employees are not absolute.²⁸ For example, private employees may engage in concerted speech to discuss issues related to employment.²⁹ Though, while the standard employment contract in the United States creates an “at-will” employment relationship,³⁰ contracts in professional sports leagues often have “for cause” termination clauses.³¹ But such provisions are “fickle” and may be invoked as a pretext for termination.³² To wit, an employer of a professional athlete can justify terminating a player by concluding that the athlete is no longer athletically capable despite the absence any objective measure of ability.³³ Thus, while a professional athlete can exercise their freedom of speech, by kneeling for instance, their employer may be free to terminate the athlete’s employment regardless of a “for cause” clause.³⁴

Whereas professional leagues may prohibit athletes from using the platform to promote social justice, student athletes at public institutions likely have a constitutional right to use sport to engage in social activism. Students do not generally have a constitutional right to participate in extracurricular athletics.³⁵ The Supreme Court has held that students that do participate in extracurricular athletics voluntarily submit themselves to higher degrees of regulation than students generally; “[s]omewhat like adults who choose to participate in a ‘closely regulated
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industry,’ students who voluntarily participate in school athletics have reason to expect intrusions upon normal rights and privileges…” But whether the NCAA or other public institutions can constitutionally ban speech or punish and remove athletes is context dependent. Regulation of students’ speech must be “…justified by a showing that the students' activities would materially and substantially disrupt the work and discipline of the school.” It is unlikely that specific instances of speech by student athletes to raise awareness for a social cause can meet the requirements necessary to be constitutionally prohibited by public institutions under the First Amendment.

For example, in *V.A. v. San Pasqual Valley Unified Sch. Dist.*, the court granted a preliminary injunction against the defendant school district and its officers, enjoining policies that would restrict students from sitting or kneeling during the national anthem during athletic events. The court acknowledged that the act of peaceful and silent kneeling during the national anthem to protest racial injustice is one that constitutes speech. This form of speech, the court reasoned, is akin to the constitutionally condoned practice of students wearing black armbands to protest the Vietnam War. Similarly, kneeling did not cause a substantial disruption school activities or the material interference of other students’ rights, nor did the act threaten students’ safety. Hence, the court held that Plaintiff was likely to succeed on the merits of a first amendment claim when applying *Tinker*.
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IV. Discussion and Recommendations

While public institutions are likely constitutionally required to tolerate athlete activism, public institutions should *encourage* student athletes to express their opinions about social issues. The goals of public education are best served when schools encourage civic participation and political involvement.  

Moreover, professional sports leagues should refrain from exercising any ability to prevent such speech.

The platform sports provide is a powerful tool. Athlete activism has a meaningful and tangible impact on the communities and social causes that athletes and sport entities choose to support. The Super Bowl 50 Host Committee helped raise over $13 million to support more than half a million low-income families. Colin Kaepernick donated $1 million to various charities to serve the oppressed communities he strived to raise awareness for by kneeling. His fellow athletes such as Stephen Curry, Kevin Durant, and Serena Williams matched Kaepernick’s last $100,000 of donations. Following the kneeling protests, the NFL committed over $90 million to social justice causes. MLS’ Diego Valeri has supported foster care families and domestic
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violence victims.\textsuperscript{48} WNBA’s Seattle Storm raised tens of thousands of dollars for Planned Parenthood after donating $5 of every ticket sold for a July 2017 game.\textsuperscript{49} The NHL’s Hockey is For Everyone (HIFE) campaign annually donates $50,000 to minority and low-income youth.\textsuperscript{50} The NHL and its teams have also supported LGBTQ+ groups.\textsuperscript{51} The NBA and NBPA lead over 70 social justice initiatives.\textsuperscript{52} The NBA and its players have also helped to recruit over 50,000 volunteers to work with “My Brother’s Keepers,” a mentorship program started by former President Barack Obama.\textsuperscript{53} These examples are merely a selection of numerous efforts designed to address social issues.

Teams and leagues should support social justice initiatives. The Ross Initiative in Sports for Equality asserts that while individual athletes’ efforts to raise awareness are often met with criticisms, collective efforts by teams are met with public support.\textsuperscript{54} The NBA’s polices regarding athlete expression sets the standard for professional sport entities. Notwithstanding the NBA’s general policy regarding athlete activism, the league prohibits kneeling during the
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national anthem. NBA players’ adherence to the anthem rule can be attributed to the league’s player friendly policies. When LeBron James was asked if he would kneel for the anthem, he explained that his voice was more powerful than taking a knee. This is because the NBA’s policies amplify its athletes’ voices.

Commissioner Adam Silver has publically encouraged NBA players to participate as citizens and assured that such participation does not put the players careers at risk. Silver acknowledged that part of the culture of the league includes the obligation to speak about issues of importance. Thus, When the NBA emphasized the national anthem rule by memo, the league also suggested steps to develop impactful community programs. One of the key messages outlined in the memo was that the “[NBA] support[s] and encourage[s] players to express their views on matters that are important to them.” Embracing athlete activism does not seem to have hindered the NBA’s success. In April 2017, the NBA reported that it eclipsed its record for
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regular-season attendance for the third straight year. The NBA’s online retail sales were also up 15% from the previous year.

The NBA’s recent success casts doubt on claims by many that athlete activism, and particularly kneeling during the anthem, is the cause of the NFL’s decline in viewership. The claim is inconclusive. There are many variables to consider when analyzing the NFL’s TV viewership numbers such as the quality of match, star power of the players, and the television window each game is broadcasted in. Additionally, millions of households that have participated in the “cord-cutting revolution.” While there is a general downward trend in NFL viewership across the four main television windows, ratings are also down across all television programming except for cable news. Hence, the NFL is not the only league experiencing a
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decline in viewership. NASCAR’s TV ratings were down 17% last year. NASCAR’s viewership dropped despite threats by owners that any employee of their teams that protests the anthem would be fired, and despite NASCAR’s protest free events. Viewership for college football broadcasts on CBS, ABC, NBC, and ESPN declined in 2017. English Premier League ratings for games broadcasted in the United States were also down in 2017. No causal conclusion can be drawn between athlete activism and viewership decline.

V. Conclusion

Sport and athlete activism have long been intertwined. The debate about the role of athletes in public discourse continues through today. Regardless of the ability of sport entities to prevent athletes from engaging in such dialogue, sport entities would be best served by allowing athletes to communicate freely on issues that are important to them. It is especially important that stakeholders within sport entities adhere to a unified message, encouraging the platform to be used to promote social justice.
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